1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	
4	TIM WALES,
5	)
6	Plaintiff, ) CASE NO. 19-CV-1351
	vs.
7	CITY OF SARATOGA SPRINGS, et al., )
8	Defendants. ) )
10 11 12	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HON. MAE A. D'AGOSTINO THURSDAY, AUGUST 11, 2022 ALBANY, NEW YORK
13 14 15	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: O'CONNELL & ARONOWITZ, P.C. By: KEVIN LAUILLIARD, ESQ. 54 State Street, 9th Floor Albany, New York 12207
16 17 18	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:  FITZGERALD MORRIS BAKER FIRTH PC  By: JOHN D. ASPLAND, JR., ESQ.  16 Pearl Street, PO Box 2017  Glens Falls, New York 12801
19	CIGING PAPER, NOW POINT PROOF
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 (Teleconference, 12:30 p.m.)

THE COURT: The record will reflect that I'm having a telephone conference on the case of Wales versus City of Saratoga Springs. The only individuals that have standing to participate in this conference as far as the Court is concerned are Mr. Laurilliard and Mr. Aspland. I understand that the mayor is on the phone, but I'm only going to be hearing from Mr. Laurilliard and Mr. Aspland.

Mr. Aspland, what in the world is going on here? I was advised that the case was settled. I marked it off the calendar, and what's the situation?

MR. ASPLAND: The situation, Judge, is that the case is settled. The settlement check was forwarded to plaintiff directly by Travelers on June 24. I imagine Kevin's negotiated the instrument at this point and distributed the funds, and that's the current state.

THE COURT: Mr. Laurilliard, can you share or shed any light?

MR. LAURILLIARD: Yes. I agree with Mr. Aspland. I thought this was over and done. I closed my file. I archived it. I put it away. I negotiated the check based on the settlement documents. I have negotiated it and passed the proceeds onto my client.

THE COURT: I have a stipulation of discontinuance on the merits. The moneys have been received. I'm not really sure

what the Court is supposed to do at this point in time.

Mr. Aspland, did you have authority to settle this case on behalf of the City of Saratoga Springs when you did enter into the settlement and sign the closing papers?

MR. ASPLAND: Yes, Your Honor. It's not a consent policy with Travelers. So Travelers agreed to pay the money and executed the documents that we always execute, and that was the process.

THE COURT: Okay. So you're telling me that in the contract of insurance between the City of Saratoga Springs and -- I'm sorry. What insurance company was it?

MR. ASPLAND: Travelers Insurance, Judge.

THE COURT: Travelers. There was no need for the City of Saratoga Springs to consent. Was there any deductible?

MR. ASPLAND: So it's my understanding -- I don't have the complete copy of the policy. I was given that information by the claims representative at Travelers, but there is a deductible. I believe it's \$25,000. The deductible, as I understand it, was invoiced to the city, as has been the normal course of practice. I assume the city is going to pay the deductible upon receipt, and that's between the city and Travelers pursuant to the contract of insurance.

THE COURT: You were breaking up there. There's background noise with somebody. So somebody really needs to go into a different room or to mute their phone.

Mr. Aspland, I had asked you was there a deductible, and you said yes, but I couldn't pick up on anything else that you said after that.

MR. ASPLAND: Sure, Your Honor. So my understanding is that there was a \$25,000 deductible under the employment practice policy, that typically what would happen is Travelers would pay the full amount to settle the case for the plaintiff when they choose to do so. Then how the process works is that the insured client, here the city, gets an invoice from Travelers for the deductible, and that the deductible is then paid in the normal course of business.

THE COURT: Okay. It just seems to me that the recourse for the city -- and I know, Mr. Kim, you're an attorney, and I know I told you that you wouldn't be able to participate, but I'll just make the observation for the city attorney's benefit that it would seem to me that the recourse would be a matter between the city and the insurance company at this point. If the city feels that a contract has been breached, perhaps a state court action for breach of contract is in order.

But what I'm hearing from counsel of record is that the insurance company had full authority to settle the case without the consent of the city. I'm pretty sure you disagree with that. You have permission to speak. Correct, Mr. Kim?

MR. KIM: No, actually, Your Honor. We don't disagree

with that. We wanted to make sure that the Court understood how this settlement was reached, and then basically because we are a public entity and are accountable to taxpayers, we need to find out additional facts about potentially canceling. That's why we asked for this. We do not disagree, the interpretation of the policy.

What we wanted to call attention to the Court was that this settlement discussion was conducted by a representative of the city council that basically has an extreme conflict of interest when he conducted this. He presented himself as far as we know to this Court in front of Judge Magistrate Stewart as a representative of the city. He also, if you open up the complaint, is the key witness for the plaintiff.

So then he spent about two to three months based on email records that we have, again don't have a full picture, basically negotiating on behalf -- well, purporting to negotiate on behalf of the city council and the City of Saratoga Springs, while having this clear conflict of interest where he was essentially a witness. Basically the plaintiff doesn't have a complaint about the city council member Dillon Moran. Just reading the complaint, the first page of the complaint, you can see that.

THE COURT: Go ahead. You can finish.

MR. KIM: So Your Honor, we are looking to get additional information to basically fulfill our obligation to

the public to explain to them why we're paying out a \$25,000 deductible beyond just the contract and also find out, determine if there is any other actions that we have to take.

Very importantly, this city council member has stated on the record several times that the magistrate ordered him to be at this hearing. We cannot find any evidence of that, but that is certainly something that we want to understand because as I said, legally his conflict of interest was apparent by even the most cursory reading of the complaint, and understanding what his position was at the time that the settlement discussions happened in the spring of 2022.

THE COURT: The thing is that I have no personal information as to what went on at a settlement conference. Of course, any judge would always require someone with settlement authority to attend the conference.

But honestly, there isn't anything that I can do at this point to solve your issue, Mr. Kim. This seems to be like an internal issue between you, the Travelers, and Mr. Aspland. It's not my role as a federal judge at this point to try to get you information, but more importantly, I don't have any information. All I know is I was advised that the case was settled, I marked it settled, and now I hear that the moneys have been disbursed.

So I would just say that in terms of your situation as mayor of Saratoga Springs and what you're going to tell the

public, that's something that will have to be worked out between you and your attorney of record, Mr. Aspland, and take it from there. I am not in a position, nor is it my role to gather information for you. And as I said, even if I could, I don't have any information. I got a letter that I got a stipulation of discontinuance signed by the attorneys of record. The case is settled. Moneys have been disbursed. So I can't give you whatever relief you seem to be looking for.

MR. ASPLAND: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Laurilliard and Mr. Aspland, for calling in. Thank you, Mr. Kim. Have a good day.

(The matter adjourned at 12:40 p.m.)

|--|

I, JACQUELINE STROFFOLINO, RPR, CRR, Official Court
Reporter, in and for the United States District Court for the
Northern District of New York, do hereby certify that pursuant
to Section 753, Title 28, United States Code, that the foregoing
is a true and correct transcript of the stenographically
reported proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that
the transcript page format is in conformance with the
regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

/s/ JACQUELINE STROFFOLINO

Dated this 18th day of August, 2022.

JACQUELINE STROFFOLINO, RPR, CRR

FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER